# MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION	
Type of Requestor: (x) Health Care Provider ( ) Injured Employee	( ) Insurance Carrier
Requestor's Name and Address Surgical and Diagnostic Center, LP	MDR Tracking No.: M4-03-5217-01
729 Bedford Euless Road West, Suite 100	TWCC No.:
Hurst, TX 76053	Injured Employee's Name:
Respondent's Name and Address Continental Casualty Co./Rep. Box #: 47	Date of Injury:
C/o Gallagher Bassett	Employer's Name:
16414 San Pedro Ave., Ste. 400 San Antonio, TX 78232	Insurance Carrier's No.:

### PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates o	f Service	CDT C 1 ()		Amount Due
From	To	CPT Code(s) or Description Amou	Amount in Dispute	
5-23-02	5-23-02	24147 - right olecranon bursa	\$2,518.63	\$00.00
		86311	\$50.00	\$00.00
		82947	\$33.00	\$00.00
		80005	\$44.00	\$00.00
		85002	\$24.00	\$00.00
		93005	\$35.00	\$00.00
		93010	\$15.00	\$00.00
Total			\$2,719.63	\$00.00

## PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Position summary as stated on the Table of Disputed Services states, "Our Charges are fair and reasonable based on other insurance companies' determination of fair and reasonable payments of 85% - 100% of our billed charges. Worker's Compensation Carriers are subject to a duty of good faith and fair dealings in the process of workers" compensation claims."

### PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Response submitted without a position summary.

# PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to services provided in an Ambulatory Surgical Center that are not covered under a fee guideline for this date of service. Accordingly, the reimbursement determined through this dispute resolution process must reflect a fair and reasonable rate as directed by Commission Rule 134.1. This case involves a factual dispute about what is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services provided.

During the rule development process for facility guidelines, the Commission had contracted with Ingenix, a professional firm specializing in actuarial and health care information services, in order to secure data and information on reimbursement ranges for these types of services. The results of this analysis resulted in a recommended range for reimbursement for workers' compensation services provided in these facilities. In addition, we received information from both ASCs and insurance carriers in the recent rule revision process. While not controlling, we considered this information in order to find data related to commercial market payments for these services. This information provides a very good benchmark for determining the "fair and reasonable" reimbursement amount for the services in dispute.

To determine the amount due for this particular dispute, staff compared the procedures in this case to the amounts that would be within the reimbursement range recommended by the Ingenix study (from 173.9% to 226.5% of Medicare for this particular year). Staff considered the other information submitted by the parties and the issues related to the specific procedures performed in this dispute.

Based on this review the crisical mind.			
Based on this review, the original reimbursement on these services is within the medium to he for no additional reimbursement was then presented to a staff team with health care provider. This team considered the decision and discussed the facts of the individual case.	igh end of billing and	the Ingenix range. I insurance adjustin	The decision ag experience.
Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, the Ingenix range for applicable pro experienced staff members in Medical Review, we find that no additional reimbursement is d	ocedures, a	and the consensus of se services.	of other
V e			
PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION			
Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined to additional reimbursement.  Findings and Decision by:	etermined	that the requestor	is not entitled
Roy Lewis		8-4-05	
Authorized Signature Typed Name		Date of Ord	ler
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING			
TOOK RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING			
If you are unhappy with all or part of this decision, you have the right to appeal the decision. The were issued during the month of August 2005, should be aware of changes to the appeals proceed the search of the state of the State of Administrative Hearings (SOAH) on or before August 20-day window to appeal to SOAH, found in Commission I parties during this transition phase. If you wish to seek an appeal of this medical dispute resolution have your request for a hearing to the Commission as early as possible to allow sufficient the equest to SOAH for docketing. A request for a SOAH hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk 17787, Austin, Texas 78744 or faxed to 512-804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the segment of the sequence of the se	ical disput just 31, 20 Rule 148.3 ation order ne for the of of Procee ached to the ade directly appeal to and appeala	take effect September to So is not entitled to to so and to subdings/Appeals Clerone request.  The property of the subdings of	that is not to a SOAH for some encouraged omit your rk, P.O. Box
If you are unhappy with all or part of this decision, you have the right to appeal the decision. The were issued during the month of August 2005, should be aware of changes to the appeals proceed the search of the appeals proceed and the state of the state of the state of Administrative Hearings (SOAH) on or before August 20-day window to appeal to SOAH, found in Commission I starties during this transition phase. If you wish to seek an appeal of this medical dispute resolution have your request for a hearing to the Commission as early as possible to allow sufficient time equest to SOAH for docketing. A request for a SOAH hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk 17787, Austin, Texas 78744 or faxed to 512-804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attracted to the state of the sequent of the state of the sequent of the se	ical disput just 31, 20 Rule 148.3 ation order ne for the of of Procee ached to the ade directly appeal to and appeala	take effect September to So is not entitled to to so and to subdings/Appeals Clerone request.  The property of the subdings of	that is not to a SOAH for some encouraged omit your rk, P.O. Box
If you are unhappy with all or part of this decision, you have the right to appeal the decision. The were issued during the month of August 2005, should be aware of changes to the appeals proceed the sending for a hearing at the State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH) on or before August 20-day window to appeal to SOAH, found in Commission I barties during this transition phase. If you wish to seek an appeal of this medical dispute resolution have your request for a hearing to the Commission as early as possible to allow sufficient time equest to SOAH for docketing. A request for a SOAH hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk 17787, Austin, Texas 78744 or faxed to 512-804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attracted by the Texas Labor Code, Sec. 413.031(k), as amended and effective Sept. 1, 2005). An after than 30 days after the date on which the decision that is the subject of the appeal is final as the prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor	ess which to ical disput ust 31, 20 Rule 148.3 attion order to for the of Procee ached to the ade directly appeal to and appeals or de llast	take effect September to So is not entitled to to so and to subdings/Appeals Clerone request.  The property of the subdings of	that is not to a SOAH for some e encouraged omit your rk, P.O. Box t in Travis t be filed not
If you are unhappy with all or part of this decision, you have the right to appeal the decision. It were issued during the month of August 2005, should be aware of changes to the appeals proceed the appeals of the appeals proceeding for a hearing at the State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH) on or before Augustian and the usual 20-day window to appeal to SOAH, found in Commission I parties during this transition phase. If you wish to seek an appeal of this medical dispute resolution have your request for a hearing to the Commission as early as possible to allow sufficient time equest to SOAH for docketing. A request for a SOAH hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk 17787, Austin, Texas 78744 or faxed to 512-804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attracted by the Augustian September 1, 2005, appeals of medical dispute resolution orders are procedurally measured from the second series of the appeal is final and the prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favorant VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION	ess which to ical disput ust 31, 20 Rule 148.3 attion order to for the of Procee ached to the ade directly appeal to and appeals or de llast	take effect September to So is not entitled to to so and	that is not to a SOAH for some e encouraged omit your rk, P.O. Box t in Travis t be filed not 1812.
If you are unhappy with all or part of this decision, you have the right to appeal the decision. We were issued during the month of August 2005, should be aware of changes to the appeals proceed the search of the process of the search of the search of the state of the search of the	ess which to ical disput ust 31, 20 Rule 148.3 attion order to for the of Procee ached to the ade directly appeal to and appeals or de llast x.	take effect September to So is not entitled to to SoAH, you are Commission to subdings/Appeals Clember request.  By to a district court District Court must bble.  Burns Anderson J	that is not to a SOAH for some encouraged omit your rk, P.O. Box t in Travis t be filed not 812.